



*A|e* Agencia de Evaluación  
*I|S* de Tecnologías Sanitarias



# Internal Evaluation of EUnetHTA

## Results from Participant Surveys

Imaz I (AETS, Spain), Gasparetto T (Veneto, Italy), Läubli M (SNHTA, Switzerland), González J (AETS, Spain), Blakely AM (AETS, Spain), Lund Håheim L (NOKC, Norway)



# Internal Evaluation of EUnetHTA


Three annual participant surveys:

- 14 questions about internal work within the groups  
Answered per participation in a group
- 20 questions about the Project, considering all groups  
Answered per participant
- 17 questions about the overall Project  
Answered per participant, evaluated only in 2008



# Participant Surveys

- 34 quantitative questions. Range of responses:



- 17 qualitative questions

Included two SWOT:

1. For the internal work in groups
2. For the overall Project

# Internal Evaluation of EUnetHTA

## Responses to participant surveys



Year	Responses by participant	Responses by European Country	Responses by Partner
2006	45 / 193	16 / 29	24 / 64
2007	41 / 181	13 / 29	17 / 64
2008	63 / 243	15 / 29	22 / 64

Questionnaires were sent to individuals, but responses were by individuals or partners



# Quantitative Analysis

- Percentage of responses by year, excluding no replies
- Mean scores from Likert scale by year,  $\pm$  SD



# Qualitative Analysis

- Triangulation of qualitative data: Three sources of data were combined
  - Leader interviews
  - Qualitative data from participant surveys
  - Project documents
- Extracted and grouped the more frequent issues by the evaluation criteria



# Evaluation Criteria

- Production of deliverables in a timely manner
- Effective working collaboration between WP's
- High degree of participation within WP's
- Effective communication
- Sustained commitment to the Project
- User and stakeholder satisfaction with new routines and practice
- Perceived added value



# HIGHLIGHTED RESULTS



**EUnetHTA** | European Network for Health Technology Assessment


[www.eunetha.net](http://www.eunetha.net)



eunetha


# Effective working collaboration between WP's

## Q 15. EUnetHTA will improve the quality of HTA reports



# Effective working collaboration between WP's

Q 40. How do you rate the collaboration between WP's?




# High degree of participation within WP's

Q 38. How do you rate the collaboration in your WP?




# Effective communication

## Q 39. Is language a barrier?




# Sustained commitment to the Project

Q 28. Do you have sufficient economic resources for your work in the Project?




# Perceived added value

Q 19. The participation of my institution will strengthen the use of HTA as a working method in the health service of my country



# Perceived added value

## Q 17. EUnetHTA will override decisions of national HTA organizations



# User and stakeholder satisfaction with new routines and practice

- We don't yet have enough information about satisfaction with new practices generated by EUnetHTA
- But, we could have opportunities to assess it in the future



# Final remarks

- There was an overall positive attitude towards the establishment of a European Network for HTA
- The internal evaluation was useful to provide regular feedback during the Project and to make recommendations for the future
- Lessons learned could be useful to build a European Joint Action for HTA





# eunethta

EUROPEAN NETWORK FOR HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT



**EUnetHTA** | European Network for Health Technology Assessment

[www.eunethta.net](http://www.eunethta.net)



eunethta